Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/528,376	MORITA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Examiner GERARD T. HIGGINS	Art Unit 1785	

	GERARD T. HIGGINS	1785		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED 21 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.		
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1	f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
	liance with 27 CER 44 27 must be	filed within two month	a of the data of	
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the company. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
AMENDMENTS				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core. (b) They raise the issues of paymentar (can NOTE below.	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowant to place the application in between the second to place the application to place the second to pla	•	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.		
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).				
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the	
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected:				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	·	, ,,	•	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-		
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but see attached Detailed action. 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
/Mark Ruthkosky/	CEDADD T HIGGINS			
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1785	GERARD T. HIGGINS Examiner Art Unit: 1785			